EX PARTE OF LAVE FILED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

ORIGINAL Room 212 DOCKETS

1 0 FEB 1993

1N REPLY REFER TO: 7330-7/1700A3

RECEIVED

Honorable Mark O. Hatfield United States Senator Special Districts Center 727 Center Street N.E., Suite 305 Salem, Oregon 97301

FEB 1 2 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATION OFFICE CATTHE SECRETARY

Dear Senator Hatfield:

This is in reply to your letter of January 28, 1993, in which you inquired on behalf of your constituent, Willard J. Davis, regarding the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice) in PR Docket No. 92-235, 57 FR 54034 (1992). This Notice proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz.

Those rules have been in place for over 20 years. While they have been amended on numerous occasions since that time, they nonetheless embody regulatory concepts based on yesteryear's technology and, unless changed, will stifle the growth and development of private land mobile radio technology and services, which are used primarily by local governments, public safety entities, and businesses to enhance their productivity. The Commission issued the Notice, therefore, to solicit comment from all interested persons on a wide variety of proposals designed to increase channel capacity, to promote more efficient use of these channels, and to simplify the rules governing use of these channels.

The proposals in the <u>Notice</u> reflect to a large extent concepts and proposals submitted in the initial inquiry stages of this proceeding. None of the proposals set forth in the <u>Notice</u>, however, are engraved in stone. Indeed, the proposals represent our best judgment at this stage of the proceeding on steps that must be taken to improve the regulatory climate for users of the private land mobile radio spectrum below 512 MHz. To this end, some of the critical issues that must be resolved relate to channel spacing, the amount of time provided to users to convert to new technical standards, how the 300 to 500 percent increase in channel capacity should be licensed, how the rules should be written to provide users technical flexibility, and whether the current nineteen radio services should be consolidated and, if so, how. I have enclosed for your information a copy of that part of the <u>Notice</u> that describes the numerous proposals.

Mr. Davis is specifically concerned about the impact of these changes on radio control (R/C) hobby users. Enclosed is a discussion paper concerning our proposals for the 72-76 MHz band. In short, we expect there would be no adverse impact on R/C operations because of any proposal contained in the Notice.

0+1

We are, of course, sensitive to the concerns of both users of private land mobile radio spectrum and R/C hobbyists. We will, therefore, take into careful consideration all their comments. Your constituent's concerns will be fully evaluated when we develop final rules in this proceeding. As indicated in the Notice, we remain convinced that without significant regulatory change in radio operations in the bands below 512 MHz, the quality of communications in the private land mobile radio services will continue to deteriorate to the point of endangering public safety and the national economy.

We want to thank you for your interest in this proceeding. Comments on the proposals set forth in the <u>Notice</u> are due February 26, 1993, and Reply Comments are due April 14, 1993. We expect final rules to be issued near the end of 1993. We urge your constituent to file formal comments on all aspects of the proposals.

Simcerely.

Ralph . Haller

Chief, Private Radio Bureau

Enclosures: Notice Discussion paper

Congressional

DUR: 2-10-93

PLEASE MAKE 2 EXTRA COPIES OF INCOMING, ATTACHMENTS, AND REPLY FOR DOCKET FILE, ROOM 222.

> CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING SYSTEM 02/02/93

		LETTER REPORT		
CONTROL NO.	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF CORRESP	DATE DUE	DATE DUE OLA(857)
9300357	02/02/93	01/28/93	02/15/93	
TITLE	MEMBERS	NAME	REPLY FOR	SIG OF
Senator	Mark Hatf	ield	BC	
CONSTITU	JENT'S NAME	st	JBJECT	
Willard J	Pavis inq.	comments on PR I	Oocket 92-2	35
REF TO	REF TO	REF TO	RE	EF TO
8-3-93				
8-3-93			•	
DATE	DATE	DATE		DATE
02/02/93				

REMARKS: Respond to the Salem, OR office.

MARK O. HATFIELD DREGON

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3701

PRB 92-235 357

January 28, 1993

Ms. Linda Townsend Solheim Federal Communications Commission Director, Legislative Affairs 1919 M Street N.W., Room 800 Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Solheim:

Enclosed is a copy of a letter I recently received from Mr. Willard J. Davis regarding his concern over PR Docket 92-235, which would greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for R/C model use. Because I want to do everything possible to be responsive to Mr. Davis, I would be grateful for your thorough examination of this situation.

After you have completed your review, please send your findings and comments to my Salem office at Special Districts Center, 727 Center Street N.E., Suite 305, Salem, Oregon 97301.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this inquiry.

Sincerely,

Mark O. Hatfield United States Senator

MOH/ab Enclosure 84274

REGELVED
FEB 3 3 25 NR 93
PRIVATE SAME TO SAME
PRIVATE SAME
PRIVATE SAME TO SAME
PRIVATE SAME TO SAME
PRIVATE SAME TO SAME
PRIVATE SAME
PRI

January 21, 1992

The Honorable Mark O. Hatfield 475 Cottage NE Salem, OR 97301

Dear Senator Hatfield:

I have been interested in aviation for as long as I can remember. I began as a child building gas powered free flight model airplanes and various other types of models. I learned to fly full size aircraft 20 years ago and have owned an aircraft for the past 18 years. A couple of years ago I became interested in radio-controlled model airplanes. I currently own 5 radios and a number of R/C model airplanes and have a workshop full of other products necessary for the construction and operation of my models.

I am very concerned about the proposed rule that is currently under consideration by the Federal Communication Commission (FCC). The proceeding is PR Docket 92–235. If adopted the new rule will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for R/C model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability.

Our radio-control frequencies are in the 72-76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio-control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

The Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in **PR Docket 92.235** replaces Part 90 of the rules with a new Part 88. Part 90 allows for safe use of R/C aircraft and surface models by keeping 10 Khz spacing between fixed commercial users and frequencies used by R/C enthusiasts. The new Part 88 will allow mobile users on frequencies within 2.5 Khz of frequencies available to us, eliminating safe use of at least 31 of the 50 channels on the 72 MHz band (for R/C cars and boats) now used by hobbyists. In fact more channels will likely be affected.

When we operate our R/C models, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

I don't think it is wise of the FCC to seek to expand the operation conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio-control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as business users of radio, but we have a considerable investment in our models and in our radio equipment. It is a sizable industry that must be saved from these detrimental FCC actions. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to hundreds of thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement and development of the commercial aviation industry.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposal PR Docket 92-235 for the 72-76 MHz band. We all need your help urgently because the FCC has a deadline of February 26, 1993 after which it may become more difficult to avoid halting these proposals from going into effect.

Willard J. Davis

AMA 446851

Thank you